

4), although assessment of energy capacity from agricultural waste materials in 2000 did produce a congregated ratio value of both stalks and leaves to product of 0.23 [5].

TABLE IV: The comparisons of RPR values

Result	Fruit Coat		Leave Stalk				Empty Bunch	
	OTC	MFC	SHE	ULS	BLS	LEA		LS
RPR (2 nd data)	0.33 ^a	0.33 ^a	0.25 ^a	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	0.23 ^b	0.29 ^a
RPR (from study)	0.28	0.12	0.20	0.09	0.14	0.04	0.26	0.03

^a data from [3] ^b data from [3]

6 Acknowledgements

We would to thank Energy Conservation Promotion Fund of Thailand (ENCON) for providing the fund for this research. Also with, Phetchaburi Rajabhat University for supporting in conference.

7 Reference

- [1] Department of alternative Energy Development and Efficiency (DEDE)., 2015, Alternative Energy Development Plan: AEDP2015 (In Thai), Retrieved January 16, 2018, from http://www.dede.go.th/download/files/AEDP2015_Final_version.pdf
- [2] Office of Agricultural Economics., 2016, Agriculture Statistic of Thailand 2015, Nation Office of Buddhism Press, pp. 64-66. (In Thai)
- [3] Department of Alternative Energy Development and Efficiency. 2013. Biomass Potential of Thailand [Online], Available: http://biomass.dede.go.th/biomass_web/index.html [1 August 2017]. (In Thai)
- [4] Ezekiel, A.A, Francis, K. and Ahmad, A., 2015, “Technical Analysis of Crop Residue Biomass Energy in an Agricultural Region of Ghana,” *Resources Conservation and Recycling*, 96, pp. 51– 60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2015.01.007>
- [5] Department of Alternative Energy Development and Efficiency. 2000. Biomass Potential of Thailand. [Online], Available: http://www2.dede.go.th/renew/bio_p.htm [1 March 2018]. (In Thai)
- [6] Cochran, W.G. (1963). Sampling Techniques, 2nd Ed., New York: John wiley and Sons, Inc.